PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

REC'D	2 8 JAN 2005
WIPO	PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference						
BERK-017WO	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA)					
International application No.	International filing date (day/mon	th/year) Priority date (day/month/year)				
PCT/US03/13492	29 April 2003 (29.04.2003)	30 April 2002 (30.04.2002)				
International Patent Classification (IPC)	or national classification and IPC					
IPC(7): C12N 15/00, 15/09, 15/63, 15/7	0, 15/74 and US Cl.: 435/320.1					
Applicant						
THE REAGENTS OF THE UNIVERSIT	TY OF CALIFORNIA					
1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.						
2. This REPORT consists of	a total of \overline{Z} sheets, including t	his cover sheet.				
which have been amen	This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).					
3. This report contains indicat	ions relating to the following ite	ems:				
I Basis of the repo	rt	·				
II Priority						
)		ty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
IV Lack of unity of i	invention					
V Reasoned stateme applicability; cita	V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
VI Certain document						
VII Certain defects in	the international application					
VIII Certain observation						
·						
Date of submission of the demand	Date of	completion of this report				
07 October 2003 (07.10.2003)		ary 2005 (06.01.2005)				
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US	Authoriz	ed-officer , , , ()				
Mail Stop PCT, Atm: IPEA/US Commissioner for Patents		AC KOMXOND /				
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450	1 1/	A. Duffy				
Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230 Telephone No. 571-272-1600						
orm PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet)(July 1998)						

International application No.	
PCT/US03/13492	

I	I.	Bas	is of the report	
l	1.	With	regard to the elements of the international application:*	
l		X		
		岗	the international application as originally filed. the description:	
I			pages 1-32 as originally filed	
l			pages NONE , filed with the demand	
l			pages NONE , filed with the letter of	
ĺ		\boxtimes	the claims:	
l			pages 33-35 , as originally filed	
l			pages NONE, as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19	
			pages NONE, filed with the demand	
			pages NONE, filed with the letter of	_•
	ļ	\triangle	the drawings:	-
			pages 1-4 , as originally filed pages NONE , filed with the demand	
			pages NONE , filed with the demand pages NONE , filed with the letter of	
	-	∇	the comment is at	
	k	Δ	the sequence listing part of the description:	
			pages 1-11 , as originally filed	
			pages NONE , filed with the demand pages NONE , filed with the letter of	,
:	2. 1	With	regard to the language all the elements moderal all the elements	
			regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Au age in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item	
	T	hese	elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language which	l. h ie:
		_] ·	the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule23.	1 is. 1/b))
	Ĺ	<u></u> '	the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).	1(0)).
	L	1	the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary oversity	tion/undon Dules
_				
3	i. V	Vith :	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international applicational preliminary examination was corried out to the little and the international applications are the little and t	on, the
		7	Position was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:	.,
	K	<u>ا</u> لا	contained in the international application in printed form.	ļ
	F	! -	iled together with the international application in computer readable form.	
	F	╣,	urnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.	
	늗	┥∑	urnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.	
	L.		The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the outernational application as filed has been furnished	disclosure in the
	Г	_	The state of the s	1
	L		he statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written as been furnished.	en seguence listing
	_	_ n	as been furnished.	m requence issuig
ŀ.	· L	T	he amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:	
			the description, pages NONE	
			the claims, Nos. NONE	
	_		the drawings, sheets/ fig NONE	
] T		
			his report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been eyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**	L L
v	Kepi S rei	ulcen	Will Sheels Which have heen furnished to the passining of the state of the same of the sam	4 are referred to in
*	Any	repl	as "originally filed" and are not annexed to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 1 acement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item I and annexed to this report.	16 and 70.17).
	-	-	and annexed to this report.	
_	- 50			1

International application No.

PCT/US03/13492

III. Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
1. The question whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non-obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been and will not be examined in respect of:					
the entire international application,					
claims Nos. 12-24					
pecause:					
the said international application, or the said claim Nos relate to the following subject matter which do not require international preliminary examination (specify):	es				
the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. 20-22 and 24 are sunclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):	0				
Improper multiple dependent claims not searched in 210					
,					
the claims, or said claims Nos are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.					
no international search report has been established for said claims Nos. 12-24					
2. A meaningful international preliminary examination cannot be carried out due to the failure of the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions:					
the written form has not been furnished or does not comply with the standard.	Ì				
the computer readable form has not been furnished or does not comply with the standard.					

International application No. PCT/US03/13492

NO

v.	Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
	citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. STATEMENT Novelty (N) Claims 3-5,10 and 11 yes Claims 1, 2 and 6-9 NO Inventive Step (IS) Claims 3-5, 10, 11, yes Claims 1, 2 and 6-9 NO Industrial Applicability (IA) Claims 1-11 yes

2. CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS

Claims 1, 2 and 6-9 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Shen et al, (Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 92(9):3987-3991, 1995).

Claims NONE

Shen et al teach a plasmid integration vector capable of site specific *Listeria* genome integration (see page 3987, column 2, see plasmid construction, delivery to the *Listeria monocytogenes* genome) and how to make such using particular restriction endonucleases. The vector comprises a site-specific plasmid with a heterologous coding sequence and multiple cloning sites (i.e. as a derivative of pBR322). The vector was prepared using pBR322 as a base and thus necessarily possesses multiple cloning sites because pBR322 has multiple cloning sites. The vector was used to transform *Listeria monocytogenes* by electroporation, provide for culturing of the transformants expressing a heterologous polypeptide and used as a vaccine for a particular virus. As such, Shen et al destroys the novelty of the claimed invention.

Claims 3-5, 10 and 11 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest Listeria integration vectors using a listeriophage integrase or the claimed integration sites.
NEW CITATION(S)

SHEN et al. Recombinant Listeria monocytogenes as a live vaccine vehicle for the induction of protective anti-viral cell-mediated immunity. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., USA. April 1995, Volumn 92, Number 9, pages 3987-3991.

International application No.

PCT/US03/13492

VIII. Certain observations on the international application	
The following observations on the clarity of the claims, descr are fully supported by the description, are made:	ription, and drawings or on the questions whether the claims
Please See Continuation Sheet	
	•
	•
•	
n PCT/IPEA/409 (Box VIII) (July 1998)	

International application No. PCT/US03/13492

ouppiemental Be	OX					
(To be used wher	the space	in any of t	he preceding	hovec i	e not	

VIII. The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the questions are

Claims 1-9 are objected to as lacking clarity under PCT Rule 66.2(a)(v) because the claims not fully supported by the description. The application, as originally filed, did not describe: the claimed invention.

The claims are drawn to Listeria integration vectors/plasmids. Vectors/plasmids are specific nucleic acid sequences whose structure must be described by way of the disclosure. The teachings of the disclosure are limited to two specific vectors, pPL1 and pPL2, with specific integration sites for Listeria monocytogenes. Neither the nucleic acid sequence of these sites (comK or tRNAarg) nor the full length plasmids are specifically disclosed. Even then, these specific integration vectors do not integrate with all strains of Listeria monocytogenes (see disclosure page 31, line 13 to page 32 line 2). The disclosure is devoid of nucleic acid sequence data for specific sequence integration sited for other species of Listeria. The disclosure or disclosure does not place any structure, chemical or functional limitations on the claimed vector. The recitation of "site-specific Listeria genome" does not convey a common structure or function. The scope of the claims includes numerous structural variants, and the genus is highly variant because a significant number of structural differences between genus members is permitted. The disclosure and the claims do not provide any guidance on the structure of the polypeptide and what changes can or can not be made. Structural features that could distinguish appropriate nucleic acids in the genus from others in the nucleic acid class are missing from the disclosure and the claims. No common structural attributes identify the members of the genus. The general knowledge and level of skill in the art do not supplement the omitted description, because specific, not general guidance is needed. Since the disclosure fails to describe the common attributes or structural characteristics that identify members of the genus, and because the genus is highly variant, the function of capable of sitespecific Listeria genome integration alone is insufficient to describe the genus of vectors that function equivalently. One of skill in the art would reasonable conclude that the disclosure of a two specific vectors with two specific integration sites limited to Listeria monocytogenes, fails to provide a representative number of species of genome specific integrations sites in Listeria monocytogenes alone and does not provide for written description of genome specific integration sites in other Listeria species. Applicants were not in possession of the claimed genus because the disclosure does not convey to one of skill in the art a representative number of variants in structure and function of any such vectors that have the claimed/structure and function. The genus of vectors with the claimed function is substantial and highly variant because the vectors do not have a common structure and function. The recitation of "site specific Listeria genome integration" or the comK integration site or the tRNAarg integration site does not convey a common structure nor a common function. As such, generically sequences that are unrelated via structure and function are highly variant and not conveyed by way of written description by the disclosure at the time of filing. As such the disclosure lacks written description for the

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Continuation Sheet) (July 1998)

International application No. PCT/US03/13492

Supplemental Box

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

highly variant genus of single function vectors with structurally undefined attachment sites, integration sites, genes which are not provided by the art.

Claims 1-9 are objected to as lacking clarity under PCT Rule 66.2(a)(v) because of the claim not fully supported by the description. The description does not disclose the claimed invention in a manner sufficiently clear and complete for the claimed invention to be carried out by a person skilled in the art for the following reasons. The claims are generically drawn to vectors capable of site-specific Listeria genome integration, Listeria transformed by the vectors, vaccines and kits for making vectors. These claims are not enabled for the following reasons. In order to provide for site-specific genome integration one must have a prior knowledge of the sequence of the Listeria genome, sequence of the bacteriophage/listeriophage attachment sites or the disclosure must describe such. It is noted that the disclosure does not describe these sites by way of nucleotide sequence structure for either Listeria monocytogenes or any other Listeria species. The disclosure does not point to the art where these sequences are described. Absent specific sequence information or structural definition, one skilled in the art would not know how to make site-specific Listeria genome integration vectors merely based on the functions of genome integration, bacteriophage attachment sites, comK and tRNAarg integration sites. Additionally, the disclosure lacks any information regarding vaccines, appropriate polypeptides, suitable protection studies in any relevant animal model using the Listeria cells of the invention. The vaccine art has long established that antigenicity does not correlation with protection from disease. As such, the disclosure does not teach how to make and use the invention as broadly claimed and it would require ingenuity far beyond

the level of the skilled artisan and undue experimentation to make and use such vectors etc given the lack of specific nucleic acid structural information in the disclosure and in the art with respect to Listeria genomes, bacteriophage/listeriophage attachment sites

and a comK or tRNAarg integration site.